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INTRODUCTION

Low levels of folate and vitamin B₁₂ have been associated with low levels of serotonin metabolite 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) in cerebrospinal fluid in humans as well as in rats (Botez et al. 1979, Botez et al. 1982). Furthermore, low to deficient levels of folate in serum and erythrocytes have been associated with depressive disorders, greater severity of depression, low or delayed response rate to antidepressants, and increased relapse rates (Abou-Saleh et al. 1986, Carney et al. 1990, Fava et al. 1997, Abou-Saleh & Coppen 1989, Bottiglieri et al. 1992, Bottiglieri et al. 2000, Coppen et al. 1989, Coppen & Bailey 2000, Papakostas et al. 2005, Papakostas et al. 2004, Papakostas et al. 2004, Tolmunen et al. 2004, Wilkinson et al. 1994). Studies have been suggesting that folic acid and its derivatives can be used in the treatment of depression as add-on treatment (Coppen & Bailey 2000, Guaraldi et al. 1993, Di Palma et al. 1994, Alpert et al. 2002). Previously, it was shown that methylfolate (5-methyltetrahydrofolate- MTHF), a derivative of folate which is actively transported into central nervous system-CNS) significantly improved the outcome of depressed patients with definite or just borderline folate deficiency after addition of –to standard psychotropic treatment in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study (Godfrey et al. 1990). Coppen & Bailey (2000) demonstrated that the co-administration of folic acid substantially and significantly improve the response to fluoxetine in female depressed patients but not in male. Papakostas and colleagues found a relationship between serum folate level and the timing of improvement with fluoxetine, resistance to medication, and relapse during the continuation phase of pharmacotherapy (Papakostas et al. 2005, Papakostas et al. 2004, Papakostas et al. 2004).

Folate appears to influence the rate of synthesis of tetrahydrobiopterin, the cofactor in the hydroxylation of phenylalanine and tryptophan (Coppen et al. 1989, Bottiglieri & Hayland 1994, Hamon et al. 1986). Because this is the rate limiting step in the biosynthesis of dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin, alterations in the rate of synthesis of this important cofactor may be relevant to depression or other psychiatric disorders such as obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD). It has hypothesized that OCD may be associated with serotoninergic dysfunction, and the clinical effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) may suggest an indirect role of serotonin in obsessions and compulsions (Lee et al. 2018, Sinopoli et al. 2017, Van Praag 1982, Westenberg et al. 2007). Methyltetrahydrofolate has been shown to bind to presynaptic glutamate receptors, 
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where it may bind to presynaptic glutamate receptors, potentially modulate the release of other neurotransmitters including the monoamines (Ruck et al. 1980). Furthermore, glutamatergic system may be involved in the neurochemical disturbances existing in OCD (Baxter 2001, Coric et al. 2005).

Clinical interventions commonly used for the treatment of OCD provide symptom improvement in about 40-60% of patients with OCD; however, there are a considerable number of patients in whom enough symptom reduction (20-40%) can not be obtained that indicate a need for the new pharmacological treatment options (Jenike 2004). Although, folate and B₁₂ deficiencies and disturbance of one-carbon metabolism or use of folate and its derivates as an antidepressant or augmentation with folic acid of antidepressant drug action are well studied in depression, such studies have not been done in OCD yet. There are a few studies of serum folate levels in patients with OCD. In a study, the authors indicated that serum B₁₂ levels were significantly associated with OCD whereas folate levels did not with OCD and other psychiatric disorders (Hermes et al. 1988). A more recent study found both lowered blood level of folate and increased blood level of homocysteine in comparison to healthy controls, and both folate and homocysteine were significantly correlated with symptom severity of OCD as assessed with Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (YBOCS) total score (Atmaca et al. 2005). Taken together that strong evidence of benefits of folate in the treatment of depression and results from a few studies suggesting a possibility of impaired one carbon metabolism in OCD, a study of serum homocysteine, B₁₂, folate, and erythrocyte folate concentrations in patients with OCD and whether the co-administration of folic acid would augment the anti obsessive-compulsive action of fluoxetine has been undertaken.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Participants
A randomized, double-blind parallel group, placebo controlled trial completed in outpatient psychiatry clinic of Kocaeli University. The participants and clinicians were blinded to interventions after assignment. Forty patients with Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) diagnosis of OCD were randomly assigned to receive either a tablet of 5 mg folic acid or an identical looking placebo tablet to take with their fluoxetine. Computer based randomization procedure was used. All patients were prescribed 20 mg of fluoxetine as starting dose. After the first week of fluoxetine treatment, the dosage of fluoxetine optimized to 40 mg daily. No further effort was made to balance the samples, for example according to gender or duration and severity of OCD, during randomization process. The medication adherence and patient compliance were assessed through checking with the patient and his/her caregiver along with a pill count at each visit. Patients were excluded from study entry for the following reasons: having a total score on the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) of 12 or higher score, being a woman who was currently pregnant or lactating, being diagnosed with cerebrovascular disease, Parkinson’s Disease, Alzheimer’s Disease, having a current or past diagnosis of schizophrenia, other psychotic disorders, manic or hypomanic episode verified with medical records, diagnosed with recurrent depression, known poor or non-response to previous treatment with fluoxetine, having a DSM-IV-TR defined diagnosis of alcohol or substance abuse and/or dependence in the past 6 months, having a clinically relevant abnormal laboratory test including megaloblastic anemia, having an unstable or severe physical illness, current ingestion of drugs known to affect homocysteine, B₁₂, and folate levels (e.g. anti-epileptic, lithium), receiving treatment with a depot neuroleptic within 1 month. Concomitantly using any psychotrophic medication was not permitted other than alprazolam for sleep if needed. All previous psychotropic medications had been withdrawn for at least 1 week before sampling. After informed patient consent, baseline fasting blood samples were obtained in all patients for examination of full blood count, liver function tests, routine urine tests, thyroid tests (TSH, T₃, T₄), serum and red blood cell folate, serum B₁₂, and total serum homocysteine. No dietary restrictions were imposed. At the endpoint (week 12), fasting serum homocysteine, B₁₂, folate and RBC folate levels were re-evaluated. At each follow up appointment, the patient was questioned about any possible adverse effects of the medication. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and registered (143-6/116).

Scales
All patients entering the study were rated on the clinical rating scales, the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D), Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAM-A), Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (YBOCS), Clinical Global Impression-Severity of Illness (CGI-S). Psychometric assessments were performed at baseline, weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12 by using all of the mentioned scales. We explored and compared the “response” rates between the placebo and folic acid group. A response was defined as 30% or more drop in the end point YBOCS total score as compared with baseline.

Yale Brown Obsession Compulsion Scale was developed to detect the character and severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms, and consists of two parts (Goodman et al. 1989). Questions 1-5 evaluate severity of obsessions; questions 6-10 evaluate severity of compulsions. Validity and reliability in Turkish was made (Karamustafalioğlu et al. 1993).

The Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) is a 14-item questionnaire to be rated by the clinician, assessing severity of generalized anxiety (Hamilton
Biologic Assays

The blood samples were taken from antecubital vein between 9 and 11 AM after an overnight fast. Serum folate, erthrocyte folate and serum B12 levels were measured using ECLIA (Roche Diagnostics Elecsys 2010 immune analyzer). Normal range for serum cobalamin was 197–866 pg/ml (145-637 pmol/L); for serum folate was 3.1–17.5 ng/ml (7.0-39.7 nmol/L); for erthrocyte folate was 176-589 ng/ml (401-1338 nmol/L) as established by the kit manufacturer. Plasma total L-homocysteine estimation was carried out using FPIA in the IMx system (Abbott Diagnostic, Abbott Park, IL, USA). Normal range for plasma total L-homocysteine was 4.45-12.42 µmol/L as established by the kit manufacturer. In the present study we analyzed the results according to the standard lower limits of the normal range as suggested by the kit manufacturer, namely 197 pg/ml for cobalamin, 3.1 ng/ml for serum folate, and 176 ng/ml for erthrocyte folate. All results below these values were considered to be lower than normal. If the value of plasma totals homocysteine values higher than 12.42 µmol/L, it was accepted as higher than normal.

Statistical analysis

Sociodemographic variables were assessed either with independent samples t-test for continuous variables or Chi square test for categorical variables. Yates’ corrected chi-square or Fisher’s exact test were used when appropriate. Pearson or partial correlations were used to explore the relationship between interested variables and the biochemical markers, MANOVA was used for comparing. Multivariate sample means between the placebo and folic acid groups. If significant difference was found by multivariate F test, then follow up univariate ANOVAs with Bonferroni adjustment for type I error were performed. In the main analysis, the outcome (dependent) measure was observed changes in the total score of YBOCS, which had been collected over six measurements, between the two treatment arms. Because of gender differences, may have an effect on the antidepressant responses (Coppen and Bailey, 2000, Martenyi et al. 2001) gender was added as a second “between-subjects factor” to the mixed model repeated measures of ANOVA to test the main hypothesis which allows us to evaluate both inclusive and exclusive of the effects of gender. Preliminary assumption testing for ANOVAs was conducted. All analyses were based on the ITT patient sample. The significance level was always set at 0.05 (two sided). Partial Eta Square (eta²) was reported as an indicator of effect size for ANOVAs.

RESULTS

Sample

Fifty eight patients were screened. Forty three patients with OCD were enrolled; however, seven patients dropped out the study because of refusal to give a blood sample at the time of venipuncture (2 patients) or complete baseline psychiatric assessments (4 patients) or violate the study agreement (1 patient). Therefore, remaining 36 patients were included in further statistical analyses. The mean age of the whole group was 32.6±10.9 years. There was no significant difference between the ages of the two treatment groups (Table 1) or between the mean ages of men (n=14, 32.16±11.41 yrs) and women (n=22, 31.86±10.71 yrs; t=0.265, df=34, P=0.793). Gender distribution was not significantly different between the treatment groups. None of the baseline psychometric or biochemical parameters was significantly different between the treatment groups (Table 1). The comorbidities in OCD group were as follows: four patients with panic disorder, 2 patients with social phobia, 1 patient with generalized anxiety disorder, 1 patient with post-traumatic stress disorder.

Adverse effects

Twelve out of 18 patients (66.7%) reported adverse effects of the treatment in fluoxetine plus placebo group; 6 patients reported a mild increase of anxiety symptoms, 5 of headache, 2 of nausea, and 3 of insomnia. Fifteen patients (83.3%) reported adverse effects of the treatment in fluoxetine+folic acid group; 5 patients suffered from nausea, 4 from difficulty concentrating, 4 from flatulence, 2 from gastrointestinal discomfort, 2 from reddened skin. Total adverse effects frequency was not significantly different between the groups (χ²=0.593, df=1, P=0.441). Five (13.9%) of subjects withdrew from the study during follow up period due to adverse effects (2 in flx+placebo, 3 in flx+folic acid group).
Table 1. Baseline Comparisons between the Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flix Alone Group</th>
<th>Flix+Folic Acid Group</th>
<th>Statistic and P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>33.4±11.62</td>
<td>31.0±10.18</td>
<td>(t=0.656, df=34, p=0.516)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female n, %)</td>
<td>12 (66.7%)</td>
<td>10 (55.6%)</td>
<td>(\chi^2=0.117, df=1, p=0.732)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D Score (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>6.06±3.08</td>
<td>6.17±2.73</td>
<td>(t=-0.115, df=34, p=0.909)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-A Score (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>16.22±9.15</td>
<td>17.56±9.91</td>
<td>(t=-0.419, df=34, p=0.678)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS Score (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>24.78±8.41</td>
<td>27.89±5.97</td>
<td>(t=1.280, df=34, p=0.209)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>4.4±1.25</td>
<td>4.94±0.873</td>
<td>(t=-1.394, df=34, p=0.172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum folate, ng/ml (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>8.13±3.19</td>
<td>6.63±1.98</td>
<td>Pillai’s trace: F(4,31)=1.047, (p=0.399, \eta^2=0.119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte folate, ng/ml (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>449.13±94.30</td>
<td>461.11±231.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum homocysteine, (\mu)mol/L(Mean±SD)</td>
<td>12.35±3.26</td>
<td>15.21±9.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum B12, pg/ml (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>286.99±132.36</td>
<td>232.12±69.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Changes in YBOCS total scores (error bars: 95% CI for mean)

Serum Folate, B12, Homocysteine, and RBC folate levels at baseline

All of 36 patients’ serum folate levels were within the normal range. However, 4 (11.1%) patients’ erythrocyte folate levels were low, and 7 (19.4%) patients’ serum B12 levels were low according to the reference intervals. Twenty one (58.3%) patients’ serum total homocysteine levels were higher than upper limits. One way between groups MANOVA was performed to investigate the treatment group (placebo vs. folic acid) differences in biochemical markers’ serum levels at baseline. We found no baseline significant differences between the groups by means of biochemical parameters associated with one carbon system (Table 1). There were no significant correlation between age and baseline serum folate \(r=0.082, \ P=0.636\), homocysteine \(r=0.184, \ P=0.281\), B12 \(r=0.024, \ P=0.891\), and RBC folate levels \(r=-0.144, \ P=0.401\). Mean serum folate, erythrocyte folate, homocysteine and B12 levels were not significantly different between men and women \(F(4,31)=2.278, \ P=0.083, \ eta^2=0.227\).

Correlation between baseline YBOCS scores and Serum Folate, B12, Homocysteine, and RBC folate levels

Partial correlation was used to explore the relationship between Y-BOCS total score and the interested biochemical marker, while controlling for the levels of other biochemical markers. There was a medium and negative correlation between blood level of B12 and Y-BOCS total score \(r=-0.396, n=31, P=0.022\). However, there were no significant correlations between Y-BOCS total score and serum folate \(r=-0.066, n=31, P=0.714\), homocysteine \(r=-0.085, n=31, P=0.639\), and erythrocyte folate level \(r=-0.127, n=31, P=0.482\).

Effect of the treatment on OCD severity

A mixed model repeated measures ANOVA approach showed that there was a significant change in YBOCS total scores collected over six assessments \(F(5,28)=7.683, P<0.0005, \eta^2=0.578\) in whole sample with a medium effect size (Figure 1). However, there was no significant effect of time by treatment interaction \(F(5,28)=1.848, P=0.136, \eta^2=0.248\), time by gender interaction \(F(5,28)=1.408, P=0.252, \eta^2=0.201\), and time by treatment by gender interaction \(F(5,28)=1.066, P=0.400, \eta^2=0.160\). Then, repeated measures ANCOVA showed that change across the study \(\Delta\) in the biochemical markers’ levels \(\Delta\text{folate} F(5,28)=0.967, P=0.455, \eta^2=0.152\; \text{Δ}e\text{rythrocyte folate} F(5,28)=0.148, P=0.979, \eta^2=0.027; \Delta\text{homocysteine} F(5,28)=0.732, P=0.605, \eta^2=0.119; \Delta\text{B12} F(5,28)=0.293, P=0.912, \eta^2=0.052\) were not significantly associated with changes in YBOCS scores collected repeatedly six measurements. There were no significant time by treatment interaction in obsession \(F(5,160)=1.124, P=0.350, \eta^2=0.034\) or compulsion \(F(5,160)=1.261, P=0.283, \eta^2=0.038\) subscales of YBOCS.
Table 2. Effect of the treatment on biochemical parameters*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Baseline (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Endpoint (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Within Groups</th>
<th>Comparisons Between Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum folate (ng/ml)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>8.13±3.19</td>
<td>8.04±3.08</td>
<td>F(1,17)=0.47, p=0.382, eta^2=0.003</td>
<td>F(1,14)=75.962, p&lt;0.0005, eta^2=0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>6.63±1.98</td>
<td>5.78±3.54</td>
<td>F(1,17)=7.506, p&lt;0.0005, eta^2=0.820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte folate (ng/ml)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>449.13±94.30</td>
<td>476.15±114.67</td>
<td>F(1,17)=1.82, p=0.292, eta^2=0.065</td>
<td>F(1,14)=36.137, p&lt;0.0005, eta^2=0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>461.11±231.90</td>
<td>936.80±224.85</td>
<td>F(1,17)=45.685, p&lt;0.0005, eta^2=0.729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum homocysteine (µmol/L)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>12.35±3.26</td>
<td>12.18±2.00</td>
<td>F(1,17)=0.30, p=0.864, eta^2=0.002</td>
<td>F(1,14)=4.115, p=0.050, eta^2=0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>15.21±9.09</td>
<td>10.00±2.63</td>
<td>F(1,17)=5.203, p=0.036, eta^2=0.234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum B12 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>286.99±132.36</td>
<td>289.25±116.07</td>
<td>F(1,17)=0.122, p=0.731, eta^2=0.007</td>
<td>F(1,14)=0.108, p=0.745, eta^2=0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>232.12±69.20</td>
<td>231.91±63.46</td>
<td>F(1,17)=0.003, p=0.957, eta^2&lt;0.0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Global MANOVA, Pillai’s trace: F(4,33)=19.382, p<0.0005, eta^2=0.714

Table 3. Effect of the treatment on psychometric measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Week 4</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
<th>Week 8</th>
<th>Week 12</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YBOCS</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>24.78±8.41</td>
<td>23.17±7.55</td>
<td>21.56±6.04</td>
<td>21.67±8.90</td>
<td>20.17±7.57</td>
<td>17.28±8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>27.89±5.97</td>
<td>25.78±6.25</td>
<td>23.28±6.31</td>
<td>21.17±7.82</td>
<td>20.56±8.35</td>
<td>20.94±10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>6.06±3.08</td>
<td>6.22±3.15</td>
<td>6.17±3.185</td>
<td>5.72±3.39</td>
<td>5.56±3.45</td>
<td>5.50±3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>6.17±2.73</td>
<td>6.72±2.85</td>
<td>6.50±3.204</td>
<td>6.11±3.05</td>
<td>5.72±3.72</td>
<td>5.78±3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>4.47±1.28</td>
<td>4.33±1.19</td>
<td>4.11±0.90</td>
<td>3.89±0.90</td>
<td>3.72±1.07</td>
<td>3.28±1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>4.88±0.86</td>
<td>4.88±0.93</td>
<td>4.47±0.94</td>
<td>4.29±1.21</td>
<td>4.18±1.19</td>
<td>4.17±1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of the treatment on folate, homocysteine, and B12 blood levels

MANOVA was performed to investigate group (placebo vs. folic acid) differences in alteration (A) of the biochemical markers’ levels as dependent variables (Serum folate, B12, homocysteine, and RBC folate). MANOVA showed that there were significant differences between the groups (F(1,17)=0.122, p=0.731, eta^2=0.007) in change of biochemical parameters’ levels as expected. It can be seen in Table 2, further univariate examinations with Bonferroni adjustment (comparison-wise alpha (0.05/4)=0.0125) showed that this differences between the groups originated from changes in mean serum folate (F(1,14)=75.962, p<0.0005, eta^2=0.691) and erythrocyte folate levels (F(1,14)=36.137, p<0.0005, eta^2=0.515). However, no significant difference was found in changes in mean serum B12 (F(1,14)=0.108, p=0.745, eta^2=0.003) and homocysteine levels (F(1,14)=4.115, p=0.050, eta^2=0.108) between placebo and folic acid groups.

Association between treatment response and biochemical parameters

Overall response rate to treatments was 38.9% (n=14). At the end point, there was no significant difference in response rate between placebo (44.4%, n=8) and folic acid (33.3%, n=6, χ^2=0.117, df=1, P=0.732) in addition to fluoxetine groups. Further analysis was performed to compare whether responders different from non-responders in the levels of mentioned biochemical parameters. MANOVA showed that there was no association between response and the biochemical parameters’ levels (F(1,14)=0.481, P=0.750, eta^2=0.058). At the end of study, categorical assessments (low or not) of the biochemical parameters showed that none of the patients’ erythrocyte folate or serum folate levels were low according to reference intervals no longer. But, 7 (19.4%) patients still have low serum B12 level, and 9 (25.0%) patients’ serum total homocysteine levels were higher than upper limits at the study’s endpoint. B12 deficiency or high serum total homocysteine level was not associated with the treatment response (Fisher’s exact test, P=0.681 and P=0.712 respectively).

Secondary psychometric assessment tools

Again, mixed model repeated measures ANOVA was performed to investigate group (placebo vs. folic acid) and gender differences, and group x gender interactions in psychometric assessment tools as dependent variables (HAM-D, HAM-A, CGI-S) collected over six measure-
ments. Multivariate tests’ results showed that neither significant main effect of the groups (F(15,480)=0.883, P=0.583, eta^2=0.027) nor main effect of gender (F(15,480)=0.295, P=0.996, eta^2=0.009) or group x gender interaction (F(15,480)=0.614 P=0.864, eta^2=0.019) in change of secondary psychometric scales’ scores (Table 3).

Repeated measures ANCOVA showed that abnormal biochemical markers (homocysteine F (15,465)=0.268, P=0.998, eta^2=0.009; RBC: F(15,465)=0.320, P=0.993, eta^2=0.010) were not significantly associated with secondary psychometric scales’ scores collected over six measurements.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies in the literature regarding folate in the treatment of OCD. But, the two studies merely exploring serum folate, B12 and homocysteine in OCD and found relationship between those substances and symptoms of OCD (Herrem et al. 1988, Atmaca et al. 2005). In this trial, we observed similar improvement in symptoms of OCD between each of the two treatment arms (fluoxetine+placebo vs. fluoxetine+folate co-administration groups) with no significant superiority each other. Adding folic acid in fluoxetine had not significantly better than fluoxetine+placebo group on both efficacy measures (changes in Y-BOCS total scores and response rate) even after adjusting for sex. Many researchers have been pointing out the beneficial effect of folic acid add on treatment in depression (Carney et al. 1990, Fava et al. 1997, Abou-Saleh & Coppen 1989, Bottiglieri et al. 1992, Bottiglieri et al. 2000, Coppen et al. 1989, Coppen & Bailey 2000, Papakostas et al. 2005, Papakostas et al. 2004, Papakostas et al. 2004, Wilkinson et al. 1994, Guaraldi et al. 1993, Di Palma et al. 1994, Alpert et al. 2002, Godfrey et al. 1990, Bottiglieri & Hayland 1994), but we could not extend this to OCD. In the meanwhile, the findings of present study has not supported the previous study reported that change in RCF is closely tied to response to antidepressant treatment (Levitt et al. 1998). Despite a few previous cross sectional studies reporting lowered folate, B12 and increased homocysteine levels in OCD (Herrem et al. 1988, Atmaca et al. 2005), none of our participants had a baseline lower serum folate than the normal range. The most reasonable explanation for this might be culturally or locally defined dietary habits influence the relationship between the folate statuses in different societies. For example in Chinese patients with depression had significantly lower serum folate than controls, but no subject in either group had low serum folate according to their normal range? Conversely, patients with depression significantly higher erythrocyte folate than control subjects (Lee et al. 2003). In a study examines associations between depression and folate status indicators in an ethnically diverse general US population sample aged 15-39 years found that subjects who met criteria for a lifetime diagnosis of major depression had folate concentrations in serum and RBCs, but not serum total homocysteine concentration, were lower than those of subjects who had never been depressed after adjustment for sociodemographic and biochemical factors (Morris et al. 2003). In this area, we can say that the traditional diets in western region of Turkey contain a high proportion of green vegetables and are hence rich in folate. This may explain why we could not find serum folate deficiency. Here, we should address the limitation that the dietary intakes of folic acid were not controlled in the study. Nevertheless, the present study’s design limits us to discuss further on differences between healthy group and patients with OCD due to non-inclusion of healthy comparison control group.

The biochemical mechanisms through which folate exerts an influence on neuropsychiatric status are suggested to be likely to involve the one-carbon cycle, a pathway essential to many transmethylation reactions within the CNS, including the metabolism of neuro-active substances such as the monoamine neurotransmitters and melatonin, as well as the formation of membrane phospholipids, and the synthesis, repair, and recombination of nucleic acids (Alpert & Fava 1997, Fenech 2001, Young & Ghadirian 1989). Concordant with the preclinical research, it is well known that serum folate, B12 and homocysteine are strongly associated with depressive disorders (Tiemer et al. 2002), alcoholism (De La Vega et al. 2001) and Alzheimer’s disease (Serot et al. 2001, Serot et al. 2005). We could not replicate that serum folate deficiency, which have been previously reported for wide range of psychiatric disorders, in OCD; however, some of the other disturbances (lowered erythrocyte folate level and or serum B12 level or increased serum total homocysteine) which are relevant to one carbon cycle were found. It is interesting to find disturbances in homocysteine and erythrocyte folate levels whereas normal serum folate level. An explanation for that is a defective gene of methylating enzyme 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR). Depressed patients are known to be at risk for MTHFR (C677T) polymorphism, which translates into lower serum levels of L-methylfolate and possibly lower CNS folate, and thus lower monoamine and increased homocysteine levels (Yang et al. 2008). Specific ethnic groups are at higher risk for the less functional forms of MTHFR. For example, the T/T genotype is present in as many as 10% of whites, and up to 22% of samples of Hispanic or Mediterranean populations (Arinami et al. 1997, Kelly et al. 2004). Although many studies including a meta analysis found an association between MTHFR polymorphism and depression (Arinami et al. 1997, Kelly et al. 2004, Hickie et al. 2001, Gilbody et al. 2007) one large (n=6,806) population based cross sectional study examined the risk of anxiety, using a validated self-report questionnaire, and found no association between MTHFR gene polymorphism and anxiety disorders (Bjelland et al. 2003). Similarly, none of the newly admitted patients with...
anxiety disorders had lower serum B12 or folic acid levels which had been reported from Israel (Lerner et al. 2006). However, the small number of individuals in the study could possibly explain the negative results. Homocysteine levels are also particularly high in patients with schizophrenia, even in the absence of dietary deficiency in folate or vitamin B12 (Regland et al. 1995, Susser et al. 1998). The same is also true for B12 (Lindenbaum et al. 1988). Similarly, increased postprandial levels of homocysteine, but normal fasting levels in depressive patients, were proposed to indicate some enzymatic defect (Candito et al. 1997). In this point of view, it would be suggested that methylfolate might be chosen in the future research as active molecule for avoiding from confounding affect of MTHFR polymorphism. Because of both folic acid and dihydrofolate are not biologically active forms of folate, but are essentially pro-drugs, and must undergo enzymatic transformation to L-methylfolate in order to be used by cells, and unlike other forms of folate, L-methylfolate as bioactive derivate of folate readily crosses the blood-brain barrier for use in the CNS. Additionally, it was previously shown that methylfolate significantly improved the outcome of depressed patients with definite or just borderline folate deficiency after additions of – to standard psychotropic treatment in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study (Godfrey et al. 1990). Later, lower serum levels of methylfolate have been reported among depressed subjects, although those levels were not related to severity of depression before treatment and values remained markedly low even after a good response to treatment (Wilkinson et al. 1994).

SSRI antidepressants are thought to work by stopping the reuptake of serotonin, thereby enhancing serotonin action within the synapse which results in a therapeutic efficacy in many psychiatric disorders. It seems that the efficacy of folate in psychiatric disorders, especially depression, can not be simplified as increasing the rate of serotonin synthesis. If this assumption was true, then, we might have found that folate had increased the response rate or fasten the response when we ignored the possible statistical insufficiency. Additionally, the present study demonstrated that metabolites relevant to one carbon cycle are not associated with the change in severity of OCD symptoms or treatment response to medication. Finally, limited statistical power because of the modest sample size in the present study (N=36) may have played a role in limiting the significance of some of the statistical comparisons conducted.

The readers should note as the limitations that the study has a relative small sample, not implemented a dietary restriction, and not used methylfolate the active metabolite of folate.

CONCLUSIONS

We observed similar improvement in symptoms of OCD between each of the two treatment arms (fluoxetine+placebo vs. fluoxetine+folic acid co-administra-

tion groups) with no significant superiority each other. Adding folic acid in fluoxetine had not significantly better than fluoxetine+placebo group on both efficacy measures (changes in Y-BOCS total scores and response rate) even after adjusting for sex.
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