INTRODUCTION

Different definitions are used to describe self-injurious behavior, among them terms like “parasuicide”, “self-mutilation”, “deliberate self harm”, “deliberate self-injurious behavior”. That heterogeneity in the terminology and definitions of self-injurious behavior is reflected in the diversity of instruments used to assess the phenomenon, making it difficult to compare the results of different studies. Term non-suicidal self-injury (NSSI) seems to have the clearest definition. It has been defined by the International Society for the Study of Self-injury as the deliberate, self-inflicted destruction of body tissue without suicidal intent and for purposes not socially sanctioned (2007). Furthermore, it is adopted as a new diagnostic entity in the 5th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5, American Psychiatric Association, 2013), Section III in the chapter “Conditions for Further Study”. The DSM-5 criteria define NSSI as repetitive (occurring on more than 5 days within 1 year), direct altering body tissue in a non-socially sanctioned manner, and as being undertaken without suicidal intent. Although NSSI and attempted suicide are distinct behaviors differing in intent, form, and function, the behaviors co-occur at a high rate in adolescents: among both clinical (14-70 %) and nonclinical samples (3.8-7%) (Andover et al. 2012). NSSI is a significant predictor of subsequent NSSI and subsequent suicide attempts (Wilkinson et al. 2011, Ferreira Bruco et al. 2018).

Non-suicidal self-injurious behavior is a major public health concern. It is most common among adolescents and young adults, and the age of onset is reported to occur between 12 and 15 years (systematic review by Cipriano et al. 2017). Although most prevalence studies of NSSI stem from the US, in last few years more epidemiological research originate from Europe. A relatively recent European comparison study of deliberate self injury by Brunner et al. (2014) included 11 European countries. They used the term deliberate self-injurious behavior (D-SIB) to describe any self-directed harmful behavior regardless of their suicidal intent, including NSSI. Overall lifetime prevalence of D-SIB was found to be 27.6%; 19.7% reported occasional D-SIB and 7.8% repetitive D-SIB. Lifetime prevalence ranged from 17.1% to 38.6% across countries. Estonia,
France, Germany, and Israel had the highest lifetime rates of D-SIB, while students from Hungary, Ireland, and Italy reported low rates. In Croatia, there are no national statistics on NSSI among young people. Available data on suicide rates show that in last few years there has been an increase in rates in adolescents aged 15-19 years. In 2011 the rate was 3.5, and in 2015 the rate was 5.0/100.000 (Croatian Committed Suicide Registry).

The most common method is self-cutting (over 70%) followed by head banging, scratching, hitting and burning; however, most individuals who engage in NSSI employ more than one method acting on the arms, legs, wrists and stomach (systematic review by Cipriano et al. 2017).

Fox et al. (2015) identified prior history of NSSI, hopelessness, Cluster B symptoms, female gender, depression, prior suicidal thoughts/behavior, exposure to peer NSSI, eating disorder and abuse as the strongest risk factors. Bentley et al. (2015) meta-analyzed studies examining the association between NSSI and internalizing pathology – all observed emotional disorders had an increased odds ratio for NSSI, except for bipolar disorder and social anxiety disorder. The association was the strongest with panic and post-traumatic stress disorder. Meszaros et al. (2017) by conducting a systematic literature review found a strong association between self-injurious behavior and externalizing pathology and disorders (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, antisocial personality disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, intermittent explosive disorder). Idig-Camuroglu and Gölge (2018) underlined childhood abuse as an important predictor of NSSI behavior.

Comorbidity with borderline personality disorder (Merza et al. 2017) and eating disorders is often reported (Cipriano 2017). Although listed as a diagnostic criterion for BPD (DSM-5), NSSI may also occur in individuals who aren't diagnosed with BPD, and not every individual with BPD engages in self-injury (In-Albon et al. 2013). Differences between NSSI group and BPD group could suggest the need for defining NSSI as syndrome in its own right (Selby et al. 2012, Turner et al. 2015). Ferrara and colleagues (Ferrara et al. 2012) reported a positive correlation between depression scores and number of types of NSSI. Similarly, NSSI has shown to be a strong predictor of suicide attempt in clinical samples of adolescents with depression (Asarnow et al. 2011, Wilkinson et al. 2011, Tripković et al. 2014). There is growing evidence of altered pain perception in people engaging in NSSI (Bunderla & Kumpersčak 2015).

The four-factor model (Bentley et al. 2014) classifies NSSI regarding to its functionalities. This model describes intrapersonal and interpersonal processes, which can both be positively and negatively reinforcing the behavior. In the line of automatic negative reinforcement, NSSI serves the function of diminishing negative feelings or thoughts, while automatic positive reinforcement describes the experience of pleasant or positive feelings or thoughts during or after engaging in NSSI. Social positive reinforcement describes reinforcing social interaction (i.e., getting attention or sending a message to others), while social negative reinforcement describes NSSI as a means to escape unpleasant social interactions. Most studies have found automatic negative reinforcement to be the most common function when endorsing in NSSI (Groschwitz et al. 2015, Bentley et al. 2014).

The aim of this study was to examine the characteristics of non-suicidal self-injurious behavior and associated factors in a sample of adolescents who self-injure and were in-treated. In examining these factors, specific attention was given to history of psychiatric disorder in family, existence of past traumatic event, and alcohol, cigarettes and drug dependence and its association with NSSI.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Organization of the study

The research was conducted retrospectively.

Subjects

The study included 105 patients between the ages of 11 and 19 being hospitalized due to self-injurious behavior at the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University Hospital Center Osijek during the period from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2015.

We obtained consent of the head of Unit for Psychological Medicine, Unit of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Ethics Committee for Research at the Faculty of Medicine Osijek. Recorded data do not reveal the identity of the individual patient, and are presented collectively after statistical processing.

Methods

Medical records were analyzed from the history of the disease and the letters of release. From the retrospectively retrieved data the following variables were separated: age, gender, type of self-injury, frequency of self-injury attempts, age when self-injury started, comorbid diagnosis, family structure, previous existence of psychotic disorders in the family, socioeconomic relations in the family, a school that adolescent attends, success at school, existence of traumatic events back to a year before the self-injury, consuming cigarettes, drugs, alcohol.

Statistical Analysis

The categorical data were represented by absolute and relative frequencies as they were numerically described by the arithmetic mean and the standard deviation. The differences of category variables were tested by $\chi^2$ test and, if needed, by Fisher's exact test. The normality of the distribution of numeric variables...
was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The differences between the normal distribution of numeric variables between the two independent groups were tested by the Student t test, and in the case of a deviation from the normal distribution by the Mann-Whitney’s U Test. All P values are two-sided. The level of significance was set at a risk level of 5% (p<0.05), and the computer program R (version 3.3.1, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Participants were between 11 and 19 years old, with an average age of 15 (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Histogram of patient age](image1)

Of the 105 patients in total, 84 (80%) were females and 21 (20%) males.

The frequency of self-injurious behavior was divided into two groups, more than five and less than five. 50 patients were in the first (>5) and 55 in the other (<5) group. We found no significant difference ($\chi^2$ test, $p=1.000$), nor when compared to gender.

Number of hospitalizations showed a growing trend, with a peak in the last year of conducting the study (Figure 2). The highest number of hospitalizations was 9 and only in one patient. Most often, there was one (45.7%) or two (26.7%) hospitalization. There were no found difference between the time spent on the Department and the frequency of self-injury (M-W test, $p=0.832$).

Most common types of self-injuries are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 2. Number of hospitalizations in the observed period](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of self-injury</th>
<th>Absolute number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-cutting</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratching</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causing burns</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitting body on a subject</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabbing items in the body</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In total</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Socioeconomic status was established only in those patients living in a mixed or a nuclear family. Out of 81 families, 13.6% were in a poor financial situation. The other 86.4% families were in a neat (both parents working) or a satisfactory (one parent working) socioeconomic position. No difference in the frequency of self-harming was observed, linked to socioeconomic conditions ($\chi^2$ test, $p=0.688$).

For 93 adolescents data on school success was available. Of the other 12, 6 were excluded from school and for 6 were no records. Most of the students had a good success (34.4%). Very good success accounted 30.1%, sufficient 23.7% and excellent 11.8%. Mann-Whitney test showed no statistically significant difference between the number of self-injury episodes and school success ($p=0.072$). Primary school students accounted 34.3%.

The drug dependance was found in 18.1% of adolescents, alcohol in 36.2%, and cigarettes in 41%. There was a statistically significant difference between alcohol consumption and self-harming frequency ($\chi^2$ test, $p=0.038$).

![Figure 3. Types of sharp objects in self-cutting](image3)

Figure 3 shows types of sharp objects used in self-cutting, as the most common self-injury method.

The most common family community was a nuclear family (38.1%). Mothers as single parents accounted for 21.9%, and fathers for 8.6%. Families with mother and stepfather accounted for 8.6%, and with father and stepmother for 1.0%. Patients who did not live with their parents were accommodated in: homes for children and youth upbringing (6.7%), children homes (3.8%), SOS villages (5.7%), foster families (1%) and youth communities (1%).
In 72 of cases we found a history of psychiatric disorder in family. Table 2 shows the results. Analysis revealed that self-harming did not occur more often in those families ($\chi^2$ test, $p=0.394$) (Table 2).

Table 2. Types and frequency of psychiatric disorders in the family (N=72)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychiatric disorder</th>
<th>Absolute number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suicide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial personality disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In total</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Type and share of traumatic events (N=62)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traumatic event</th>
<th>Absolute number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End of a dating relationship</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death of a close person</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents divorce</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer violence</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease in family</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The proportion of comorbid psychiatric diagnoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental disorder</th>
<th>Absolute number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1 – Substance use disorders</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F23 – Acute and transient psychotic disorders</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 – Affective disorders</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F41 – Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F43 – Adjustment disorders</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F44 – Dissociative (conversion) disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F45 – Somatoform disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5 – Eating disorders</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F60 – Personality disorders</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F70 – Mild mental retardation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F90 – Hyperkinetic disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F91 – Conduct disorders</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F92 – Mixed disorders of conduct and emotions</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F93 – Emotional disorders with onset specific to childhood</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 72 of cases we found a history of psychiatric disorder in family. Analysis revealed that self-harming did not occur more often in those families ($\chi^2$ test, $p=0.394$) (Table 2).

Table 4 lists the most common mental disorders diagnosed in the selected sample of adolescents.

DISCUSSION

Age

Average age of adolescents who self-injured in our observed group was 15 years. Occasional and repetitive self-injurious behavior were equally represented. Compared to European comparison study by Brunner et al. (2014), mean age of self-injury is consistent: their results showed mean age of 14.9 for occasional D-SIB and 15 for repetitive D-SIB. Ratio of occasional and repetitive self-injury differs, as they reported higher prevalence of occasional D-SIB (19.73%) and lower of repetitive D-SIB (7.83%). Other studies also showed higher prevalence of occasional self-injurious behavior compared to the repetitive form (Zetterqvist et al. 2013, Plener et al. 2012). A systematic review (2005-2011) by Muehlenkamp et al. (2012) of empirical studies reporting on the international prevalence of NSSI and D-SIB concluded that both had a comparable prevalence and no statistically significant differences were found between.

Gender differences

Female gender is significantly associated with higher rates of NSSI (meta-analysis by Bresin and Schoenleber 2015). The ratio was confirmed in our study – in our inpatient population females were four times more prevalent. The reasons for these gender differences are not yet clear. Some authors argue (Cerutti et al. 2011, Sarno et al. 2010) that females are more likely to disclose their NSSI than males, and therefore to be captured by statistics. Gandhi et al. (2017) highlighted the fact that gender differences observed in NSSI are also observed in depression and anxiety. They explained the thesis by increasing evidence suggesting that in females estrogen and progesterone may modulate mood through their influence on GABA, 5-HT and/or dopamine systems and influence on NSSI behavior.

Number of hospitalizations

We observed progressive growth trend of hospitalization of patients with diagnosis of self-injury. In comparision to year 2014, in the last year of conducting the study (2015) the number nearly doubled. But, we observed a progressive growth trend of hospitalizations at our department in general, which makes difficult to differentiate the cause of this growth.

Type of self-injury

In our group of interest 87% of adolescents injured themselves in form of cutting. Less used types of self-
injury were scratching, causing burns, hitting body on a subject and stabbing items in the body. The result matches world trends, as cutting oneself with a sharp object is a main mean of self-injury (in over 70% adolescents – systematic review by Cipriano et al. 2017).

Family structure

The family is the most powerful protective factor for all forms of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. So, low quality of relationships within the family and reduced parental involvement are important concomitant of self-harm (Brunner et al., 2014). In our research only 1/3 of families were nuclear, 1/3 families were with mothers or fathers as single parents, and the rest were children excluded from family and accommodated in foster families, children homes or youth communities. The high number of families that weren’t nuclear could indicate that family discord serves as a risk factor for NSSI.

Socioeconomic status

A population-based cohort study in Stockholm (N=165,923) by Lodebo et al. (2017) showed an association between parental socioeconomic position (defined by parental education and household income) and self-harm: adolescents from the lower income categories were 1.08 to 1.19 times more likely to self-harm. In our observed group we did not found any strong correlates between parental unemployment and poor financial situation with prevalence of NSSI. Most of families (86%) were in a neat or a satisfactory financial state.

School success

Poor academic functioning and inconsistent school attendance are early signs of emerging or existing mental health problems during childhood and adolescence (literature review by DeSocio and Hootman, 2004). In our observed group 6 out of 105 adolescents were excluded from school and for 6 there were no records. Most of the students (34%) had a good success, and very good success accounted for high 30%. There was no statistically significant difference found between the number of self-injury episodes and school success or school attendance.

Smoking, alcohol consumption and use of psychoactive substances

Adolescents who engage in NSSI were more likely to present several health-risk behaviors, such as substance abuse, risky sexual behaviors, and maladaptive eating habits (Giletta et al. 2012). Furthermore, girls who engage in alcohol and substance abuse seem to be at higher risk of D-SIB compared with their male peers (Brunner et al 2014). The cigarettes dependence was found in 41% of adolescents, alcohol in 36%, and drugs in 18%. There was a statistically significant difference between alcohol consumption and self-harming frequency. Mechanisms responsible for alcohol's ability to increase the risk for self-injurious behavior include alcohol's ability to increase psychological distress, increase aggressiveness, propel suicidal ideation into action through suicide-specific alcohol expectancies and constriction cognition which impairs the generation and implementation of alternative coping strategies (Hufford 2001).

Influence of hereditary factors (psychiatric disorder in the family)

Parental psychiatric disorders are associated with an increased risk of self-destructive behavior in children. Kopp and Beauchaine (2007) suggested that children with maternal depression and paternal patterns of antisocial personality disorder are at especially high risk for psychopathology, both of internalizing and externalizing natures. Self-harm seems to be strongly associated with a family history of self-harm, physical ill-treatment and sexual abuse (Rijsselberge et al 2009). In 72 adolescent there was a psychiatric disorder present in the family. Almost two thirds referred to suicide and alcohol dependence (31% and 28%). But, results showed no statistical significance between those variables.

The impact of traumatic experiences

Childhood abuse has been associated with increases in NSSI behaviors in adolescents (a systematic review by Serafini et al. 2017). Exposure to any adverse childhood experience occurring within the first 16 years of life, regardless of the type and event, is significantly associated with NSSI (Wan et al. 2015, Duke et al. 2010). But, some recent research provide differentiated findings on the experience of adverse childhood events. In a study by Thomassin et al. 2016, only child emotional abuse remained significantly associated with NSSI, when different types of adverse childhood experiences were analyzed simultaneously. This is in line with a review and a meta-analysis (Klonsky and Moyer 2008, Maniglio 2011), finding the experience of sexual abuse to be only moderately linked to the development of NSSe. In our sample traumatic events have occurred in 62 of 105 adolescents. End of a dating relationship was traumatic in 23 % and moving, sexual abuse, death of a close person each in 16% of adolescents. Less represented traumatic experiences were parents' divorce, miscarriage, peer violence, disease in family.

Co-morbid psychiatric disorders

Overall, the largest diagnostic groups with co-occurring NSSI were, in descending order: Adjustment disorders (F43), Mixed disorders of conduct and emotions (F92), Conduct disorders (F91), Affective disorders (F3-) and Borderline personality disorder (F60.3). These results partially overlap with a similar in-patient adolescent study by Sevecke et al. (2017, n=130): they
found NSSI clusters for diagnostic groups of affective disorders, substance use disorders and borderline personality disorder. Association between depression and NSSI is well studied and reported in many researches (comparative European study by Brunner et al. 2014, Nock et al. 2006). In our study the borderline personality disorder had an unexpected low share (7.8%) compared to studies which have shown BPD in 20-75% of adolescents engaging in NSSI (Andrewes et al. 2017, In-Albon et al. 2013, Nock et al. 2006). Comorbid eating disorder was found in only 2.6% of adolescents which is in line with previous findings (Sevecke et al. 2017, Ruuska et al. 2005).

Limitations

Our study was based on retrospectively retrieved data from medical histories, and this data were not gathered using standardized diagnostic instruments. The diagnoses represent a source of potential bias. Also, a retrospective study limited the analysis on data that were documented, with no option of extending our research to some other characteristics of NSSI of our interest.

CONCLUSIONS

Our research observed some basic sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of NSSI. Of all assessed, patients with NSSI were predominantly female adolescents. Mean age onset of NSSI was 15 years. Self-cutting with a sharp object was the most common mean of self-injury. A strong association was found between alcohol consumption and frequency of self-harm. Our study points to the fact that there has been an increase in prevalence of NSSI. Also, NSSI is associated with a broad spectrum of comorbidities. Adolescents presenting NSSI behavior are not a homogenous group and all should be considered at high risk of suicide that requires careful evaluation and management. Thus, especially programs for suicide prevention should be implemented. Future research should focus not only on adolescents being hospitalized and treated, but should be representative of the whole young population in Croatia. There are no national statistics on NSSI among young people in Croatia so the extent of this behavior is unknown. Furthermore, future studies should focus on the origin of NSSI as opposed to its characteristics, in order for professionals to be able to prevent the issue. There is also a lack of cross-country studies to learn differences across cultures, as a lack of standardized terminology and measurement for self-injurious behavior making the result of studies difficult to compare.
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